Pairwise-optimal Discrete Coverage Control for Gossiping Robots

Joseph W. Durham



Center for Control, Dynamical Systems, and Computation Department of Mechanical Engineering University of California at Santa Barbara motion.mee.ucsb.edu/~joey

CDC Atlanta, Georgia, Dec 17, 2010

Joint work with Ruggero Carli and Francesco Bullo

Joseph W. Durham (UCSB)

Pairwise-optimal Coverage

Problem sketch

The Coverage Control Problem



The Team: Robots with limited communciation capabilities *The Mission:* Spatially distributed load balancing

Joseph W. Durham (UCSB)

Pairwise-optimal Coverage

Overview

Challenges in Coverage Control:

- Reduce communication requirements
- Ensure solutions scales well for large teams
- Avoid local minima solutions

This work:

- Gossip communication: asynchronous, pairwise
- Computation scales with local partition size
- Better solution set than existing methods

Discretized Coverage



Domain is a weighted graph G = (Q, E, w)

Required properties

- G must be connected
- All edge-weights *w* must be positive

Partition vertices Q into regions for each robot: $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ such that each P_i induces connected subgraph Background

Discretized Coverage Cost Function



Per agent cost

Cost to cover P_i from vertex $h \in P_i$:

$$H_{i}(h, P_{i}) = \sum_{k \in P_{i}} \operatorname{dist}_{P_{i}}(h, k) \phi(k)$$

Centroid: $c_i \in P_i$ is vertex which minimizes $H_i(h, P_i)$

Total coverage cost

$$\mathcal{H}_{ ext{multi-center}}(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i(c_i, P_i)$$

Joseph W. Durham (UCSB)

Theorem (Lloyd '57 "least-square quantization")

- at fixed partition, optimal positions are centroids
 - 2) at fixed positions, optimal partition is Voronoi

Lloyd's Algorithm

For each update round:

- 1: move agents towards centroids of their regions
- 2: take Voronoi partition generated by agent positions

Result: convergence to set of centroidal Voronoi partitions (i.e., positions are centroids of Voronoi partition they generate)

Background

Prior Work: Gossip Lloyd Optimization

Gossip Lloyd optimization

Whenever two robots communicate:

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: Perform Voronoi partition of union using prior centroids
- 3: Update centroid of each agent for new region

Result: convergence to a centroidal Voronoi partition

(Durham, Frasca, Carli, Bullo '09)







For pairwise updates: no need to use Lloyd separation!

 \Rightarrow Finding optimal two partition of graph is not computationally hard

Pairwise-optimal Coverage

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: for sample vertex pair (a, b) do
- 3: Perform Voronoi parition of union using (*a*, *b*)
- 4: if Cost is lower then
- 5: Update centroids to (a, b)
- 6: Update regions
- 7: end if
- 8: end for



Pairwise-optimal Coverage

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: for sample vertex pair (a, b) do
- 3: Perform Voronoi parition of union using (*a*, *b*)
- 4: if Cost is lower then
- 5: Update centroids to (a, b)
- 6: Update regions
- 7: end if
- 8: end for



Pairwise-optimal Coverage

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: for sample vertex pair (a, b) do
- 3: Perform Voronoi parition of union using (*a*, *b*)
- 4: if Cost is lower then
- 5: Update centroids to (a, b)
- 6: Update regions
- 7: end if
- 8: end for



Pairwise-optimal Coverage

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: for sample vertex pair (a, b) do
- 3: Perform Voronoi parition of union using (*a*, *b*)
- 4: if Cost is lower then
- 5: Update centroids to (a, b)
- 6: Update regions
- 7: end if
- 8: end for



Scalability Properties

Pairwise-optimal Coverage

Whenever two robots communicate:

- 1: Compute union of regions
- 2: for sample vertex pair (a, b) do
- 3: Perform Voronoi parition of union using (*a*, *b*)
- 4: **if** Cost is lower **then**
- 5: Update centroids to (a, b)
- 6: Update regions
- 7: end if
- 8: end for

\Rightarrow Algorithm is incremental, can be truncated if need be

Let
$$k = |P_i \cup P_j|$$
:

- \rightarrow From 1 to $\mathcal{O}(k^2)$ samples
- \rightarrow Finding vertex distances is either $\mathcal{O}(k)$ or $\mathcal{O}(k \log(k))$

Algorithm

Simulation Movie



Main Convergence Result

Theorem (Convergence under persistent gossip)

If there exists a positive probability for any pairwise exchange in a finite time window, then the evolutions of c and P converge almost surely to a pairwise-optimal partition in finite time.

Proof sketch

- 1) Regions remain connected
- 2) Total cost decreases with every pairwise update
- 3) Pairwise-optimal partitions are equilibrium set

Definition: A partition is a pairwise-optimal partition if, for every pair of neighboring robots (i, j):

$$H_i(c_i; P_i) + H_j(c_j; P_j) = \min_{a, b \in p_i \cup p_j} \left\{ \sum_{k \in P_i \cup P_j} \min \left\{ d_{P_i \cup P_j}(a, k), d_{P_i \cup P_j}(b, k) \right\} \right\}$$

i.e., (i, j) has reached lowest possible coverage cost of $P_i \cup P_j$

 \Rightarrow Every pairwise-optimal partition is also centroidal Voronoi

Convergence results

Subset of Centroidal Voronoi





Cost: 12 hops



- Both are centroidal Voronoi
- Only lower cost is pairwise-optimal
 - ⇒ Avoid all pairwise local minima

Convergence results

Statistical Results I





Initial cost: 1,032 m

100 sequences of pairwise exchanges

Gray - Pairwise-optimal Coverage Black - Gossip Lloyd Coverage Red - Centralized Lloyd Coverage

Statistical Results II



- 8 random initial conditions
- 20 sequences of pairwise exchanges

Gray - Pairwise-optimal Coverage Black - Gossip Lloyd Coverage Red - Centralized Lloyd Coverage

Joseph W. Durham (UCSB)

Chief contributions

- Pairwise-optimal gossip coverage control algorithm
- Flexible computational requirement
- Improved performance over Lloyd-type methods

Future directions

- Dynamic teams and environments
- Pairwise optimization approach seems useful for wide class of problems